

Securing additional climate financing by

Ensuring No Net Incidence on Developing Countries from Carbon Pricing of International Transport (The Rebate Mechanism)

Outline and RM keys; Durban CC Talks 2011

Dr Andre Stochniol, andre@imers.org; +44 7809 764 894

'No net incidence' for a breakthrough in Durban

The key to break the deadlock in the complex area of emission reductions and innovative financing from international transport is the concept of "no net incidence". Recommended in the AGF Report and introduced into International Maritime Organization (IMO) negotiations, the requirement to "ensure no net incidence on developing countries" from carbon pricing of international transport is already being supported by various major countries, including China and Australia (informally). It will reconcile the different principles of shipping and climate change conventions enabling progress in Durban.

Rebate Mechanism to ensure not net incidence

Already formally proposed and assessed in the IMO, the Rebate Mechanism (RM) is a way to ensure no net incidence on developing countries from carbon pricing of international maritime transport. The RM was designed to apply to any revenue-generating market-based mechanism for shipping (maritime MBM) – be it a levy or an ETS. It can also apply to aviation. Through the RM developing countries can be rebated the potential cost or incidence of a maritime MBM.

RM details

The mechanism calculates the rebate using the global MBM costs and a trade-based key, country-by-country. Each developing country receives the attributed rebate, unless it decides to forego it. The developing country that foregoes its rebate, or part of it, would be internationally recognized for such action, and the foregone rebates could potentially contribute to South-South collaboration. Developed countries are not entitled to rebates but are automatically credited for the amount of financing raised through the MBM, based on the same attribution key. Consequently, the net revenue raised, after rebates have been issued, would come from customers in developed countries only, thereby complying with the principles and provisions of the UNFCCC, while simultaneously securing a global approach to shipping emissions.

The rebate key could be adjusted each year, accordingly with changes in trade patterns. The optimal rebate keys for 150+ developing countries and attribution keys for developed countries are enclosed overleaf, based on 2007 trade by sea and air.

Long-term financing contribution

Around one third of the total revenue would be redistributed to developing countries through the Rebate Mechanism. The remaining USD 10+ billion annually would be new and additional financing to support mitigation and adaptation actions in the most vulnerable countries. By 2030, since the shipping sector's emissions will most likely increase even if regulated, the mechanism would generate in the region of USD 35 billion each year for climate change. As an example, the European Union would automatically be credited for circa 28% of this amount based on the optimal attribution keys (see overleaf).

RM's optimal rebate & attribution keys¹ for:

(1) Rebates for developing countries² (with SIDS details)

Country/region	R Key %	Country/region	R Key %	Country/region	R Key %
Afghanistan	0.0238	Gambia	0.0030	Nigeria	0.3311
Albania	0.0346	Georgia	0.0360	Niue	0.0001
Algeria	0.2820	Ghana	0.0727	Oman	0.1176
Angola	0.0893	Grenada	0.0038	Pakistan	0.2747
Antigua and Barbuda	0.0075	Guatemala	0.1182	Palau	0.0018
Argentina	0.3586	Guinea	0.0126	Parma	0.0555
Armenia	0.0282	Guinea-Bissau	0.0010	Papua New Guinea	0.0273
Azerbaijan	0.0404	Guyana	0.0101	Paraguay	0.0340
Bahamas	0.0320	Haiti	0.0156	Peru	0.1676
Bahrain	0.1130	Honduras	0.0577	Philippines	0.5980
Bangladesh	0.1565	India	1.9806	Qatar	0.2129
Barbados	0.0134	Indonesia	0.6912	Rwanda	0.0056
Belize	0.0059	Iran (Islamic Rep. of)	0.4176	Saudi Arabia	0.8851
Benin	0.0103	Iraq	0.1952	Saint Kitts and Nevis	0.0028
Bhutan	0.0049	Israel	0.5823	Saint Lucia	0.0063
Bolivia	0.0177	Jamaica	0.0695	Saint Vincent and the Grenad	0.0034
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.0724	Jordan	0.1048	Samoa	0.0027
Botswana	0.0570	Kazakhstan	0.1729	San Marino	0.0000
Brazil	1.1268	Kenya	0.0907	Sao Tome and Principe	0.0008
Brunei Darussalam	0.0195	Kiribati	0.0007	Senegal	0.0502
Burkina Faso	0.0158	Korea, Dem. People's Rep. of	0.0153	Serbia	0.1593
Burundi	0.0042	Korea, Rep. of	3.6796	Seychelles	0.0089
Cambodia	0.0492	Kuwait	0.2070	Sierra Leone	0.0041
Cameroon	0.0350	Kyrgyzstan	0.0168	Singapore	2.3585
Cap Verde	0.0076	Laos People's Democratic Rep	0.0099	Solomon Islands	0.0029
Central African Republic	0.0021	Lebanon	0.1197	Somalia	0.0044
Chad	0.0240	Lesotho	0.0179	South Africa	0.8077
Chile	0.3783	Liberia	0.0047	Sri Lanka	0.1174
China	8.3490	Libyan Arab Jamahiriya	0.0627	Sudan	0.0970
China, Hong Kong SAR	2.0579	Macedonia (the Former Yugosl	0.0421	Suriname	0.0097
China, Macao SAR	0.0522	Madagascar	0.0252	Swaziland	0.0118
Taiwan Province of China	2.2651	Malawi	0.0113	Syrian Arab Republic	0.1396
Colombia	0.2847	Malaysia	1.1751	Tajikistan	0.0228
Comoros	0.0012	Maldives	0.0113	Tanzania, United Rep. of	0.0595
Congo	0.0277	Mali	0.0147	Thailand	1.3440
Congo (Democratic Rep. of th	0.0274	Malta	0.0510	Timor-Leste	0.0043
Cook Islands	0.0011	Marshall Islands	0.0077	Togo	0.0077
Costa Rica	0.1283	Mexico	0.1213	Tonga	0.0015
Côte d'Ivoire	0.0682	Mauritius	0.0402	Trinidad and Tobago	0.0790
Cuba	0.1123	Mexico	1.4594	Tunisia	0.1872
Cyprus	0.0902	Micronesia (Federated State)	0.0004	Turkmenistan	0.0213
Djibouti	0.0044	Moldova, Rep. of	0.0263	Tuvalu	0.0002
Dominica	0.0020	Mongolia	0.0075	Uganda	0.0308
Dominican Republic	0.04521	Montenegro	0.0298	United Arab Emirates	1.2684
Ecuador	0.1196	Morocco	0.3182	Uruguay	0.0354
Egypt	0.2499	Mozambique	0.0210	Uzbekistan	0.0450
El Salvador	0.0790	Myanmar	0.0304	Vanuatu	0.0021
Equatorial Guinea	0.0288	Namibia	0.0089	Venezuela (Bolivarian Rep. o	0.3620
Eritrea	0.0066	Nauru	0.0008	Viet Nam	0.5119
Ethiopia	0.0592	Nepal	0.0274	Yemen	0.0027
Fiji	0.0184	Nicaragua	0.0025	Zambia	0.0388
Gabon	0.0204	Niger	0.0090	Zimbabwe	0.0130

Example: Ethiopia's annual rebate would be \$6 million for total carbon costs of \$10bn (i.e. 0.06% of \$10bn)

Small Island Developing State	R Key, %
Singapore	2.36
Dominican Republic	0.14
Cuba	0.11
Trinidad and Tobago	0.08
Jamaica	0.07
Mauritius	0.04
Papua New Guinea	0.03
Fiji	0.02
Haiti	0.02
Barbados	0.01
Remaining SIDS	0.33
TOTAL SIDS	3.21
TOTAL non-Annex I	40.19

(2) Crediting developed countries for climate financing raised

Country	Attr Key %	Country	Attr Key %
Australia	1.5983	Latvia	0.0958
Austria	0.4521	Lithuania	0.1143
Belarus	0.0910	Luxembourg	0.0506
Belgium	1.6705	Netherlands	2.3298
Bulgaria	0.2399	New Zealand	0.3177
Canada	1.9773	Norway	0.4904
Croatia	0.2318	Poland	0.7256
Czech Republic	0.4328	Portugal	0.5020
Denmark	0.3991	Romania	0.5534
Estonia	0.1123	Russian Federation	1.3992
Finland	0.6018	Slovakia	0.3236
France	2.6018	Slovenia	0.0961
Germany	4.6015	Spain	3.0122
Greece	0.7362	Sweden	0.9112
Hungary	0.4480	Switzerland	0.5129
Iceland	0.0690	Turkey	1.6386
Ireland	0.5932	Ukraine	0.5624
Italy	2.9651	United Kingdom	3.9644
Japan	6.4161	United States of America	15.9771

Example: EU would be credited for raising \$2.85 billion of climate financing when total revenue from carbon pricing of shipping emissions is \$10bn (i.e. 28.5% of \$10bn)

Developed Country/region	Attr Key %
European Union*	28.53
United States of America	15.98
Japan	6.42
Canada	1.98
Turkey	1.64
Australia	1.60
Russian Federation	1.40
<i>Remaining 7 countries</i>	<i>2.28</i>
TOTAL Annex-I Parties	59.81

¹ Each key reflects a percentage of total costs or revenue from carbon pricing of shipping emissions.

² Developing country may forego the rebate or a part of it, and be recognized for such action. Thus the rebates may amount to 30% or less. The keys are for 2007 (the full Study is available at: www.imers.org/docs/optimal_rebate_key.pdf).